

Project Title	Is the background and justification of the proposed intervention sufficient?	Are the objectives clear, aligned to the program objectives/priorities and achievable within the budget envelope of 2.5 million USD with the proposed budget?	Are the expected results aligned to the proposed objectives?	Does the project have regional relevance and their potential for replication/any scaling beyond the proposed objectives?	Would this concept benefit from major/further technical input?	Should you recommend this concept for consideration for full proposal development?	Any major comments/ recommendations
Enhancing community capacity on use of CFM as a tool for conservation of the coastal and marine environment through a series of CFM Pilot in Marikina, Cagayan Area, Negros	Insufficient The background information on coastal and marine resources is not clearly stated. The proposed intervention is not clearly defined. The proposed budget is not clearly stated. The proposed budget is not clearly stated.	YES. BACKGROUND. PROJECT ADDRESS COAST CUTTING ISSUES. OVER AMBIGUOUS. YES. CHAIRMAN INVITED. MANY STAKEHOLDERS UNDER WAF OBJECTIVES VERY WELL IDENTIFIED.	YES. WELL STRUCTURED AND BALANCED LIST OF EXPECTED RESULTS.	YES. REGIONAL PRIORITY. ECOM NETWORK. CRITICAL HABITAT (MANGROVES). DEMONSTRATED CAPACITY OF THE PROPOSERS. CASE FOR REGION AND BAYWER AREA.	NO. THIS IS AN INTERESTING CONCEPT. HOWEVER, THE PROPOSER HAS NOT PROVIDED ENOUGH INFORMATION TO DEVELOP A FULL PROPOSAL.	YES. WRITTEN. THE PLAN OF ACTION AND SUSTAINABILITY DETAILS.	HIGHER RECOMMENDATION BECAUSE OF EXPERIENCE, IN SITU EXPERIENCE. SHOW CASE TO THE REGION.
Supporting regional spatial planning for sustainable management of coastal and marine resources in Cagayan Area	NO. BACKGROUND INFORMATION IS NOT CLEARLY STATED. THE PROPOSED INTERVENTION IS NOT CLEARLY DEFINED. THE PROPOSED BUDGET IS NOT CLEARLY STATED.	YES. BACKGROUND. INFORMATION SHOULD BE PROVIDED OF THAT THROUGHOUT THE PROJECT TO BE CLEAR. ADDING THAT THESE OBJECTIVES IS THROUGHOUT THE PROJECT TO BE CLEAR. ADDING THAT THESE OBJECTIVES IS THROUGHOUT THE PROJECT TO BE CLEAR.	NO. THE OBJECTIVES ARE NOT CLEAR.	YES. CAN BE REPLICATED IN OTHER COASTAL AND CITY.	NO. GOOD SHOW OF STAKEHOLDERS.	YES. THIS PROPOSED IS WELL CRAFTED. GOALS WELL LISTED.	NEEDS MORE DETAILED INFORMATION. WRITE.
Support coastal and marine environment in Marikina	NO. BACKGROUND INFORMATION IS NOT CLEARLY STATED. THE PROPOSED INTERVENTION IS NOT CLEARLY DEFINED. THE PROPOSED BUDGET IS NOT CLEARLY STATED.	YES. BACKGROUND. INFORMATION SHOULD BE PROVIDED OF THAT THROUGHOUT THE PROJECT TO BE CLEAR. ADDING THAT THESE OBJECTIVES IS THROUGHOUT THE PROJECT TO BE CLEAR. ADDING THAT THESE OBJECTIVES IS THROUGHOUT THE PROJECT TO BE CLEAR.	NO. THE OBJECTIVES ARE NOT CLEAR.	YES. CAN BE REPLICATED IN OTHER COASTAL AND CITY.	NO. GOOD SHOW OF STAKEHOLDERS.	YES. THESE IS GOOD TO SEE A PATHWAY TO SUCCESS.	NEEDS MORE DETAILED INFORMATION. WRITE. REGIONAL COLLABORATION IS RECOMMENDED FOR ADOPTION IN THE PROJECT ON COMMUNITY CARE. DURING.
Reduce restoration and attraction of visitors to be an Agri-tourism (Marikina)	NO. BACKGROUND INFORMATION IS NOT CLEARLY STATED. THE PROPOSED INTERVENTION IS NOT CLEARLY DEFINED. THE PROPOSED BUDGET IS NOT CLEARLY STATED.	YES. BACKGROUND. INFORMATION SHOULD BE PROVIDED OF THAT THROUGHOUT THE PROJECT TO BE CLEAR. ADDING THAT THESE OBJECTIVES IS THROUGHOUT THE PROJECT TO BE CLEAR. ADDING THAT THESE OBJECTIVES IS THROUGHOUT THE PROJECT TO BE CLEAR.	NO. THE OBJECTIVES ARE NOT CLEAR.	YES. CAN BE REPLICATED IN OTHER COASTAL AND CITY.	NO. GOOD SHOW OF STAKEHOLDERS.	YES. THESE IS GOOD TO SEE A PATHWAY TO SUCCESS.	NEEDS MORE DETAILED INFORMATION. WRITE. REGIONAL COLLABORATION IS RECOMMENDED FOR ADOPTION IN THE PROJECT ON COMMUNITY CARE. DURING.
Sustainability Assessment of Blue Carbon Ecosystem (Singapore)	NO. BACKGROUND INFORMATION IS NOT CLEARLY STATED. THE PROPOSED INTERVENTION IS NOT CLEARLY DEFINED. THE PROPOSED BUDGET IS NOT CLEARLY STATED.	NO. THE PROPOSAL IS A MIXTURE OF MAPPING RESTORATION, POLICY, COMMUNITY AWARENESS, AND CARBON CREDITS. THE PROPOSAL IS NOT CLEAR. THE PROPOSAL IS NOT CLEAR. THE PROPOSAL IS NOT CLEAR.	NO. THE OBJECTIVES ARE NOT CLEAR.	YES. CAN BE REPLICATED IN OTHER COASTAL AND CITY.	NO. GOOD SHOW OF STAKEHOLDERS.	YES. THESE IS GOOD TO SEE A PATHWAY TO SUCCESS.	NEEDS MORE DETAILED INFORMATION. WRITE. REGIONAL COLLABORATION IS RECOMMENDED FOR ADOPTION IN THE PROJECT ON COMMUNITY CARE. DURING.
around the island of Marikina	NO. BACKGROUND INFORMATION IS NOT CLEARLY STATED. THE PROPOSED INTERVENTION IS NOT CLEARLY DEFINED. THE PROPOSED BUDGET IS NOT CLEARLY STATED.	NO. THE PROPOSAL IS A MIXTURE OF MAPPING RESTORATION, POLICY, COMMUNITY AWARENESS, AND CARBON CREDITS. THE PROPOSAL IS NOT CLEAR. THE PROPOSAL IS NOT CLEAR. THE PROPOSAL IS NOT CLEAR.	NO. THE OBJECTIVES ARE NOT CLEAR.	YES. CAN BE REPLICATED IN OTHER COASTAL AND CITY.	NO. GOOD SHOW OF STAKEHOLDERS.	YES. THESE IS GOOD TO SEE A PATHWAY TO SUCCESS.	NEEDS MORE DETAILED INFORMATION. WRITE. REGIONAL COLLABORATION IS RECOMMENDED FOR ADOPTION IN THE PROJECT ON COMMUNITY CARE. DURING.
Community based ecological wetland rehabilitation using an Ecosystem based approach	NO. BACKGROUND INFORMATION IS NOT CLEARLY STATED. THE PROPOSED INTERVENTION IS NOT CLEARLY DEFINED. THE PROPOSED BUDGET IS NOT CLEARLY STATED.	NO. THE PROPOSAL IS A MIXTURE OF MAPPING RESTORATION, POLICY, COMMUNITY AWARENESS, AND CARBON CREDITS. THE PROPOSAL IS NOT CLEAR. THE PROPOSAL IS NOT CLEAR. THE PROPOSAL IS NOT CLEAR.	NO. THE OBJECTIVES ARE NOT CLEAR.	YES. CAN BE REPLICATED IN OTHER COASTAL AND CITY.	NO. GOOD SHOW OF STAKEHOLDERS.	YES. THESE IS GOOD TO SEE A PATHWAY TO SUCCESS.	NEEDS MORE DETAILED INFORMATION. WRITE. REGIONAL COLLABORATION IS RECOMMENDED FOR ADOPTION IN THE PROJECT ON COMMUNITY CARE. DURING.
Gender equality and empowerment on a region/countrywide on forestry management	NO. BACKGROUND INFORMATION IS NOT CLEARLY STATED. THE PROPOSED INTERVENTION IS NOT CLEARLY DEFINED. THE PROPOSED BUDGET IS NOT CLEARLY STATED.	NO. THE PROPOSAL IS A MIXTURE OF MAPPING RESTORATION, POLICY, COMMUNITY AWARENESS, AND CARBON CREDITS. THE PROPOSAL IS NOT CLEAR. THE PROPOSAL IS NOT CLEAR. THE PROPOSAL IS NOT CLEAR.	NO. THE OBJECTIVES ARE NOT CLEAR.	YES. CAN BE REPLICATED IN OTHER COASTAL AND CITY.	NO. GOOD SHOW OF STAKEHOLDERS.	YES. THESE IS GOOD TO SEE A PATHWAY TO SUCCESS.	NEEDS MORE DETAILED INFORMATION. WRITE. REGIONAL COLLABORATION IS RECOMMENDED FOR ADOPTION IN THE PROJECT ON COMMUNITY CARE. DURING.